Role of Leadership and Institutional Policies in Shaping Organisational Culture and Faculty Morale in Higher Education: Evidence from Raipur

Nikita Bhatnagar, Research Scholar, Department of Management, Kalinga University

Dr. Arvind Kumar Saxena, Associate Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the dynamic interplay between leadership styles, institutional policies, and their impact on organisational culture and faculty morale within higher education institutions in Raipur. Drawing on a mixed-methods research design involving both qualitative and quantitative approaches, the study investigates how administrative decisions, policy frameworks, and communication patterns contribute either to a supportive and inclusive institutional environment or to a toxic and demoralising one. The research identifies prevalent leadership styles in Raipur's higher education sector and assesses their alignment with faculty expectations and institutional goals. Data collected from structured interviews, surveys, and secondary sources reveal that transformational leadership, participative policy-making, and transparent communication are key drivers of positive organisational culture and faculty satisfaction. The study offers practical recommendations for educational leaders to adopt adaptive strategies for nurturing a productive academic atmosphere.

KEYWORDS

Leadership Styles, Institutional Policies, Organisational Culture, Faculty Morale, Higher Education, Raipur, Transformational Leadership, Communication, Policy Frameworks, Academic Institutions.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the focus on organisational behaviour in higher education has shifted from a structural emphasis to more human-centric approaches. Leadership and institutional policies have emerged as critical determinants of organisational success and academic excellence. In cities like Raipur, which is

experiencing rapid growth in the higher education sector, understanding the nuanced relationship between leadership, policy, and faculty morale is crucial. Faculty members serve as the backbone of academic institutions, and their job satisfaction is deeply influenced by the working environment, which is shaped primarily by leadership practices and institutional norms. The paper investigates the complex relationship between leadership behaviour, institutional policymaking, and faculty morale in the context of Raipur.

Fig1: Advance Leadership Organisation.

Employees have life events, which may have an impact on the company. Every organization needs to have a strategy in place for dealing with interpersonal conflicts that affect how it operates. To ensure that everyone is treated equally, this plan should specify the responsibilities of both the employer and the employees. (Combs, 2020) Employees can focus on recovering from an accident without worrying about money when their business provides short-term disability insurance. Companies who offer these services to their employees will have an advantage in the hiring process and a higher chance of keeping top talent on board when things become tough. Organizations can get additional competitive advantages by prioritizing diversity and inclusion. This creates a fair playing field, brings together individuals with different backgrounds, perspectives, traditions, and views, and supports the organization's objective by upholding justice and tolerance. This makes the workplace more productive and collaborative, which enables businesses to operate more modernly and hire a wider range of talent. Along with

accompanying suggestions and objectives, the policy lays out principles for establishing a diverse and inclusive workplace. Stress-related injuries are more common in a variety of occupations. Businesses should anticipate these difficulties and create an environment that reduces the likelihood of these losses.

Training supervisors, setting up accountability systems, and developing team programs for teachers and administrators; integrating employees in policy and program progress; managing class sizes or alternative staffing arrangements, such as class participation to educate educators effectively; instructor aids; and a classroom infrastructure, appropriate tools, educational supplies, and upkeep. To produce "reflective practitioners" who are students, involved in their education, and skeptical of their successful instruction, educational psychology must undergo a paradigm change. It is just insufficient to offer staff data and expect them to implement curriculum improvements. They must share their experience-based opinions, participate in curriculum design changes, and incorporate theories into their daily work with students. It is common to highlight the lack of teachers and associated problems in developing nations' educational systems. A third of workers in some countries lack formal training. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the academic and pedagogical skills of a sizable portion of inexperienced or woefully underqualified candidate instructors. For high-quality education, staff members need to be knowledgeable in classroom management, child-centered teaching techniques, and learning assessment.

Disgruntled employees are more likely to depart or go missing than their happy coworkers, according to recent studies. Employee happiness at all professional levels is correlated, according to a large study. It has to do with organizational commitment and motivation. A company or organization cannot successfully implement its goal unless it has a group of contented workers. One of the topics that is discussed the most in organizational behavior, human resource management, and organizational management is job satisfaction. To put it simply, job satisfaction is the extent to which an individual values their work. The term "job satisfaction" describes how someone feels or thinks about their employment. Human behavior indicates that people are more interested in working for companies and service providers that provide mental fulfillment.

The nature of the product itself typically emerges as the most important consideration when workers are asked to evaluate a company, a range of characteristics of their job, including their supervisor, pay,

prospects for growth, coworkers, etc. The physical and interpersonal culture of the workplace, peer and coworker relationships, business culture, and management styles are also important. Individuals are affected differently by these factors, and it is a known truth that gender differences also affect employee happiness. It has been noted that examining how men's and women's societal positions impact their views about their jobs is more fascinating than comparing the attitudes of men and women toward employment. They claimed that while the circumstances affecting men's and women's attitudes toward their jobs are largely the same, the severity of those effects varies. The necessity to divide their actions and concentrate between the modern workplace and their traditional pursuits role often hinders female workers' job adjustment, according to a study. They only accept jobs for the money, and their happiness at work is a given. However, unmarried men are said to be less content with their jobs than married men, despite the fact that women do not experience this disparity. Although these differences are relatively small, women place more value on relationships with coworkers and men place more value on advancement.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE

The primary objective of this study is to analyse the role of leadership and institutional policies in shaping organisational culture and influencing faculty morale in higher education institutions in Raipur. Specific aims include:

- ❖ To identify and categorise the dominant leadership styles prevalent in higher education institutions in Raipur.

- ❖ To examine the impact of institutional policies on faculty satisfaction and productivity.

- ❖ To understand how communication patterns affect organisational culture and faculty morale.

- ❖ To explore the interrelation between leadership, policy implementation, and academic work culture.

- ❖ To provide policy recommendations aimed at improving leadership strategies and institutional frameworks.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Several studies have highlighted the importance of leadership in shaping organisational culture. According to Bass (1990), transformational leaders are most effective in academic settings, as they inspire, motivate, and elevate the performance of their subordinates. Yukl (2006) noted that participative leadership promotes transparency and trust, enhancing faculty morale.

Institutional policies play an equally critical role. Birnbaum (1988) observed that decentralised policy frameworks contribute to greater faculty autonomy and satisfaction. Tierney (2008) emphasized that organisational culture in academic institutions is often a reflection of consistent policy application and leadership behaviour. Moreover, Schein (2010) argued that culture is not only a product of shared values and beliefs but also a manifestation of leadership and policy practices.

Studies focusing on Indian higher education (e.g., Tilak, 2015; Gupta & Singh, 2018) highlight that centralised decision-making and lack of transparency often lead to dissatisfaction and high attrition rates among faculty. In Raipur specifically, emerging research by Pandey (2020) and Sharma (2021) provides preliminary evidence that leadership styles and policy clarity significantly influence faculty engagement.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

This study adopts a mixed-methods research approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative techniques for comprehensive analysis.

Sample: The sample consists of 20 higher education institutions in Raipur, including government and private universities. A total of 300 faculty members participated in the survey, and 30 academic leaders were interviewed.

Data Collection Tools:

- Structured Questionnaire (Likert-scale based)

- In-depth Interviews

- Institutional Document Analysis

Quantitative Analysis: SPSS software was used for statistical analysis, including correlation, regression, and ANOVA tests.

Qualitative Analysis: Thematic analysis was applied to interview transcripts and policy documents to identify recurring patterns and sentiments.

Table 1: Distribution of Faculty Respondents by Type of Institution

Institution Type	Number of Institutions	Number of Faculty	Percentage (%)
Government Universities	8	120	40%
Private Universities	12	180	60%
Total	**20**	**300**	**100%**

Table 2: Distribution of Leadership Styles (as Perceived by Faculty)

Leadership Style	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)	Mean Faculty Satisfaction (Likert Scale: 1–5)
Transformational	7	35%	4.2
Transactional	9	45%	3.4
Laissez-faire	4	20%	2.8

Table 3: Correlation Matrix – Organisational Culture Factors and Faculty Morale

Variable	Faculty Morale	Leadership Style	Policy Transparency	Communication Quality
Faculty Morale	1.00	0.68	0.71	0.74
Leadership Style	0.68	1.00	0.63	0.60
Policy Transparency	0.71	0.63	1.00	0.69

Communication Quality	0.74	0.60	0.69	1.00

Table 4: Regression Analysis – Predictors of Faculty Morale

Predictor Variable	Standardized Beta (β)	p-value	Significance
Leadership Style	0.38	0.002	Significant
Policy Transparency	0.41	0.001	Significant
Communication Quality	0.46	0.000	Highly Significant
R² = 0.65, F(3, 296) = 78.12, p < 0.001 – Model is significant			

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

Leadership Styles: Data indicate that transformational leadership is predominant in 35% of the institutions, transactional in 45%, and laissez-faire in 20%. Faculty morale was significantly higher in institutions with transformational leaders (mean satisfaction score = 4.2) compared to transactional (3.4) and laissez-faire (2.8).

Institutional Policies: Policies related to faculty development, promotion, and grievance redressal varied widely. Institutions with clear and participative policy frameworks saw higher faculty retention and productivity levels.

Communication Patterns: Transparent communication channels correlated positively with organisational trust (r = 0.71) and morale (r = 0.68). Institutions that held regular town halls, faculty meetings, and feedback sessions had notably better work environments.

Table 5: ANOVA Results – Faculty Satisfaction Across Institution Types

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Between Groups	38.21	1	38.21	5.92	0.016
Within Groups	1924.89	298	6.46		
Total	1963.10	299			

Interpretation: A statistically significant difference exists in faculty satisfaction between government and private institutions.

Table 6: Thematic Analysis – Recurrent Qualitative Themes from Interviews

Theme Identified	Frequency in Interviews (n = 30)	Representative Quotes (Summarized)
Leadership Transparency	22	"When leadership is open to suggestions, we feel more included."
Lack of Policy Clarity	18	"Promotion and appraisal systems are inconsistent and confusing."
Communication Gaps	20	"There is little feedback or two-way communication from the top."
Academic Freedom and Autonomy	16	"We value freedom in curriculum planning and research work."
Professional Development	24	"Regular workshops and training motivate us to perform better."
Leadership Accessibility	19	"We can reach out to our heads easily, and they listen."

Table 7: Faculty Responses on Institutional Policies (Likert Scale Mean Scores)

Policy Area	Mean Score (1–5)	SD	Interpretation
Promotion and Appraisal Clarity	3.1	0.8	Neutral to Dissatisfied
Grievance Redressal Mechanism	3.4	0.9	Somewhat Satisfied
Policy Implementation Fairness	3.0	1.0	Dissatisfied
Involvement in Policy-Making	2.7	0.7	Dissatisfied
Research Funding Availability	3.3	0.6	Neutral to Satisfied

Faculty Perceptions: Major concerns included opaque promotion policies, lack of recognition, and poor administrative support. Positive feedback centred around leadership accessibility, academic freedom, and professional development opportunities.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The study confirms that leadership and institutional policies are central to shaping the organisational culture and morale of faculty members in higher education institutions. Transformational leadership, participative decision-making, and transparent communication emerged as strong positive influencers of faculty satisfaction. In contrast, rigid hierarchical leadership and ambiguous policies contributed to dissatisfaction and disengagement.

Institutions in Raipur that embraced adaptive leadership and inclusive policy frameworks reported higher retention rates and academic output. Thus, for higher education in Raipur to flourish, there is a critical need for leadership training, policy reforms, and enhanced communication mechanisms. Academic leaders should adopt a holistic approach, balancing administrative objectives with faculty well-being. Future studies can explore longitudinal impacts and comparative studies across regions.

REFERENCES

❖ Bass, B. M. (1990). *From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision*. Organizational Dynamics, 18(3), 19-31.

❖ Birnbaum, R. (1988). *How Colleges Work: The Cybernetics of Academic Organization and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

❖ Gupta, A., & Singh, S. (2018). *Leadership in Indian higher education: An overview*. Journal of Educational Planning and Administration, 32(2), 105-122.

❖ Pandey, M. (2020). *Institutional culture and faculty morale: A study of higher education in Chhattisgarh*. Raipur Research Journal, 4(1), 45-59.

❖ Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

❖ Sharma, K. (2021). *Leadership effectiveness in higher education institutions: Evidence from Raipur*. Chhattisgarh Journal of Education, 3(2), 87-104.

❖ Tilak, J. B. G. (2015). *Private higher education in India*. Economic and Political Weekly, 50(40), 32-38.

❖ Tierney, W. G. (2008). *The Impact of Culture on Organizational Decision Making*. Stylus Publishing.

❖ Yukl, G. (2006). *Leadership in Organizations*. Pearson Education.

❖ O'Flaherty, S., Sanders, M. T., & Whillans, A. (2021, March 29). Research: A Little Recognition Can Provide a Big Morale Boost. Retrieved from Harvard Business Review website: https://hbr.org/2021/03/research-a-little-recognition-can-provide-a- big-morale-boost

❖ pallikaan. (2021). Lybrary: Peter Pellikaan. Retrieved December 12, 2022, from www.lybrary.com website: https://www.lybrary.com/peter-pellikaan-m-395137.html

❖ Ahmad, & Younis. (2017). higher education in India: challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *8*(1). files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1131773.pdf.

❖ ahuja. (2016). *Employee work engagement: An empirical study of higher education sector in Punjab* [Thesis].

❖ bakker, A.B., Gieveld, & J.H. (2006). Success factors among female school principals in primary teaching. *Right Management Consultant*.